



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

MEH:ddj  
Docket No: 1394-99  
30 November 1999

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 30 November 1999. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by CMC memorandum 1001/1 MMEA-6 of 27 October 1999, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS  
3280 RUSSELL ROAD  
QUANTICO, VIRGINIA 22134-5103

IN REPLY REFER TO:  
1001/1  
MMEA-6  
27 Oct 99

MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF  
NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: BCNR DOCKET NO. 01394-99 CASE OF [REDACTED]

1. After reviewing [REDACTED] case, we recommend that his request be denied.
2. [REDACTED] states he started his request for reenlistment on 11 September 1998. It is true that Sergeant Brasseur desired to reenlist on 11 September 1998, but he had not submitted a completed reenlistment checklist to his unit career planner as required on that date. He did not return this completed checklist with the required medical, legal and unit endorsements until 13 November 1998. [REDACTED] took more than 45 days to complete his medical screening and an additionally 15 days to return it to his career planner. For background, we began processing requests for FY99 reenlistments on 31 August 1998. Marines competing for boatspaces in PMOS 7242 had their medical, legal and unit endorsements completed prior to this date.
3. On 13 November 1998, [REDACTED] request was submitted to his unit career planner. The career planner then obtained the required command endorsements and submitted his request for reenlistment to this headquarters on 18 November 1998.
4. When we received [REDACTED] on 18 November 1998, his PMOS was closed. However, he was given one of the limited quality reenlistments we are authorized to issue. His request was processed in a timely manner. Any delay in processing was due to [REDACTED] failure to accomplish his reenlistment screening in a timely manner, not because his command or this headquarters failed to execute his request. Considering all the facts in [REDACTED] reenlistment request, we recommend denial of modifying his contract or reenlistment date.
5. Point of contact is Captain M. P. Cody, DSN 278-9238.

*Mark W. Vanous*

MARK W. VANOUS  
LIEUTENANT COLONEL  
ASSISTANT HEAD, ENLISTED ASSIGNMENT BRANCH